

Origin of the Corona Virus

A Documentary by Joshua Philip for Epoch Times

<https://youtu.be/3bXWGxhd7ic>

My name is Joshua Philip. I'm an investigative reporter at The Epoch Times in New York who's been writing about the Chinese Communist Party's programs of espionage and unconventional warfare for well over a decade.

As my research progressed, initial answers turned into more questions. I soon realized there was much more to the story than we were being told.

From what is said by the Chinese Communist Party this allegedly started spontaneously in the seafood market in Wuhan China.

Part 1 The story of the Wuhan Seafood Market

Wuhan is the capital of Hubei Province, the largest city in central China. The Huanan seafood market is located in Zhang Han district of Wuhan City.

The first thing that received public attention about the epidemic was an internal notice from the Wuhan Health Commission there had been a continuous occurrence of pneumonia cases of unknown cause.

The notice issued on December 30th 2019 clearly required all medical units to report similar cases of unknown pneumonia. The notice started spreading online and on December 31st 2019 the Wuhan Health Commission issued a public notice for the first time saying that some medical institutions had found a link between the pneumonia cases and the Huanan seafood market.

However the notice pointed out that there was no evidence of obvious human to human transmission.

On January 1st 2020 the Huanan seafood market posted a notice of closure. This was followed by a thorough cleanup of the market. Since then Wuhan officials have repeatedly said that most cases of pneumonia in Wuhan have a history of exposure to the Hunan seafood market.

On January 26 the Institute of Virology of China announced that 33 of the 585 environmental samples from the Wuhan seafood market were found to contain the novel coronavirus. The virus was successfully isolated from the positive culture samples, suggesting that the virus originated from wild animals sold at the market.

At this point Huanan seafood market being the source of the epidemic became an official conclusion.

A few days later however, a report from the journal *Science* published online challenged that story. The report cited a paper in *The Lancet*, one of the world's top medical Journals, and questioned whether Wuhan's novel coronavirus pneumonia had originated at the market.

The paper, entitled “*Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan China*”, was published in *The Lancet* on January 24.

The first author of the paper is Hong Shaolin, deputy director of Jin Yintan hospital, the first designated hospital for treatment of unknown pneumonia in Wuhan.

Why would this come as a challenge to the official narrative?

Dr Sean Lin (Former Lab Director of the Viral Disease Branch, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research):

“I think this article is very important, it reveals a lot of important information. For example this paper talks about the first patient symptom onset actually being on December 1st. This patient was not related to the Wuhan Seafood market and also no epidemiological association was found between the first patient and subsequent patients. Then also this paper says that on December 10th there were three more cases, two of which were not related to the Wuhan seafood market .

There are a total of 41 patients counted in this paper and fourteen of them proved to be unrelated to the seafood market, accounting for more than one third. No one sells bat at the seafood market.”

Dr Judy Mikovits (Former Director of Lab of Antiviral Mechanisms, NCI)

“Firstly the Lancet paper showed that the supposed patient zero was nowhere near the market, secondly that there are no bats in the seafood market or anywhere close. The idea of it spreading so fast through a population, just the way it was said, through the seafood market is highly unlikely and improbable.”

On January 29th *The Lancet* re-published an analysis of 99 confirmed cases at Jin Yin Tan Hospital of which 50 had no history of exposure to the seafood market.

According to the New England Journal, of 425 cases confirmed, 45 cases onset before January 1st and had no history of exposure to the seafood market.

The authors of the two Lancet papers in the New England Journal of Medicine are doctors and medical experts in mainland China.

Daniel Lucy, an epidemiologist at the University of Georgetown, said in response to the *Lancet* paper that if the data were accurate, the first case would have already been infected by the virus in November 2019.

Because of the incubation period between infection and symptoms this would mean the virus had been quietly spreading between people in some parts of Wuhan before the cluster of cases with a history of exposure to the Wuhan seafood market began on December 15.

The first expert group from the National Health Commission arrived in Wuhan as early as December 31st, 2019. The expert panel established a set of diagnostic criteria after investigating Jin Yin Tan Hospital in Wuhan. These stipulated a history of contact with Hunan seafood market, the person having a fever and displaying the whole genome sequence.

All three standards have to be met to confirm a case.

The standard was used until a second group of experts including John Nanchang arrived in Wuhan and January 18th and made a revision.

Why did the panel impose a history of seafood market exposure as a criteria of diagnosis, knowing that at least a third of the cases were unrelated with the seafood market?

Dr Sean Lin:

“Today it's clearly known about 14 patients were not related to the Wuhan seafood market at all. That clearly means there's another source of the outbreak. I think somehow this could be malfeasance or a somewhat intentional cover up of some important source of infection.”

Dr Judy Mikovits

“You can go a long way to covering up the actual source by imposing a false place and you're not looking at the actual victims. “

Gordon Chang. Asian Affairs Expert

“The numbers that we're getting from China about new infections and deaths are highly suspicious. We know that Beijing for six weeks in December and January suppressed information of the epidemic and then when they officially acknowledged it on January 20, they started a campaign of suppression of information.

We know that because the central leading group that was announced on January 26 has a nine person roster and it's very heavy with propaganda officials. Indeed the vice-chairman of the group is the Communist party's propaganda czar.

It appears that the party's main goal here is suppression of information, controlling the narrative. That's more important to them than actually ending the epidemic.”

General Robert Spalding, Senior Fellow Hudson Institute, Former NSC Strategy Director

“I was in China when SARS happened and we were evacuated and it was the exact same thing, there's no transparency. They tried as long as they could to cover it up until finally, just like with the Corona Virus, they could no longer cover it up and then they proceeded to act.”

Part 2 The mysterious Gene Sequence

On January 10 China disclosed the full genome sequence of the Wuhan novel coronavirus and many of the world's top virologists began analysing it.

As early as January 7th an academic Jun-Hua Tian from the National Institute of Communicable Disease Control and Prevention along with the School of Public Health of Fudong University submitted a joint paper to Nature. The paper was published on February 3rd and pointed out that the Wuhan corona virus is closely related to CoVZC 45 and CoV ZXC21, 2 viruses sampled from bats in Jushian by the People's Liberation Army.

The Wuhan corona virus has an 89.1% nucleotide similarity to the CoVZC45 virus and even “exhibits 100% amino acid similarity in the NSP 7 and E proteins.”

Shortly after the paper was published other scientists used Blast, a program developed by the National Institute of Health and the National Center for Biotechnology Information, to compare the viral sequence based on the data submitted by Chinese authorities on January 12th

The results matched with Jun's findings.

Another scientist Lu Ra Xian from the Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention and their team also published a paper in The Lancet on January 30.

The paper stated that the Wuhan virus has an 88% similarity to two bat-derived severe acute respirator syndrome (SARS)-like coronaviruses collected in Zhoushan, Zhejiang province in eastern China.

The earliest discovery of this bat derived virus was by an expert from the Research Institute of Military Medicine of Nanjing Command.

A paper published in 2018 states that scientists from this institute detected many SARS-like corona viruses in bats from Zhoushan city, also known as bat-like coronavirus or Zhoushan virus.

In short scientists found that the Wuhan coronavirus of the current pandemic is highly similar to a bat SARS-like coronavirus previously discovered by the Nanjing Military Research Institute which shows 100% amino acid similarity in NSP 7 and envelope protein (the E protein).

What does this high similarity reveal?

Dr Sean Lin

“How (can you) see proteins 100% identical when virus jumps species. So they're suggesting that maybe the virus could be generated with a reverse-engineering process.”

Dr Judy Mikovits

“I certainly believe that the 100% amino acid similarity says it can't possibly it be a natural mutation.”

On January 21st researchers from the *Shanghai Institut Pasteur* of the *Chinese Academy of Sciences* published a paper in *Science China Life Sciences* that mentioned an important phenomenon: the sequence of a key part of the S protein of Wuhan virus has a high homology (similarity due to shared ancestry) with the SARS virus.

Dr Judy Mikovits

“Well the high similarity of the S proteins from SARS 1 to SARS 2 - that's your spike protein, that's the lock and key, that's going to be what drives it right through human cells. We know that's the pathogenic spike protein for the original SARS, so now you're allowing that access to human tissues. The spike proteins of the natural evolutionary strains don't infect human cells at all. That research has been going on at Wuhan and published since 2007 and clearly if that spike protein

from SARS weren't on the new Covid 19 or SARS 2, it wouldn't be able to enter human cells. So again this is again evidence that it couldn't go through the Wuhan seafood market.”

Research into the virus genome sequence revealed many essential data points. According to a February 28 report in the South China Morning Post, the Shanghai P3 Laboratory, which first shared the Wuhan coronavirus genome with the world, was ordered to close by authorities impeding further research on the virus.

Professor Zhang Yonzhen and his team who published the genome sequence on January 11 worked at this laboratory.

According to a February 26 report on Caixin, Zhang Yonzhen`s team isolated and completed the genome sequence of the previously unknown virus on January 5th.

On the same day the Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center reported the discovery to the National Health Commission and recommended prevention measures. No response was received as of January 11th and it was then that the team decided to publicize the virus genome sequence on *virological.org*, becoming the first team to do so in the world.

Caixin also reported that a Hubei Health Committee notified genome sequencing organizations on January 1st regarding the cessation of analysis of Wuhan virus samples. *“Existing virus samples must be destroyed. Information on the samples, related papers and related data are all prohibited from release.”*

On January 3rd China's National Health Commission distributed notification letter 2020 Nr 3 in which a similar directive was presented.

Afterwards the once active Chinese scientific community suddenly fell into an eerie silence. What was the Chinese Communist Party trying to hide?

Dr Sean Lin

“This is telling us the Chinese government is censoring this information. They do not allow the genome to be sequenced or do not allow the sequence to be published or submitted to the gene bank.”

Gordon Chang:

“Its response to this virus is extremely troubling. It ignored it for six weeks. It allowed it to spread around China. It tried to get other countries to not protect themselves. This is dangerous, irresponsible behaviour and it's endangered not only the Chinese people, but people around the world.”

General Robert Spalding

“There is no other way of looking at it. They are responsible for the continuation of this corona virus and every time it comes back, because it will come back, it's gonna be with us now permanently, every person that is killed, every person that is harmed, is directly attributable to the Chinese Communist Party.”

Through investigating the genome sequence, I found that the S protein of the Wuhan coronavirus is critical to its cross species ability to infect humans. While I was searching for related studies online one Chinese virologist in particular got my attention.

She spent many years researching bats and coronaviruses, she was the first to locate the key to how coronaviruses can overcome cross species barriers in order to directly infect human bodies and she was the first to discover that the SARS Virus was the result of a restructuring of multiple SARS-like corona viruses found in bats.

Her name is Shi Zhengli and she may be an important link to the origin of the virus.

Wikipedia describes Shi Zhengli as a quote “Chinese virologist and researcher at the Wuhan Institute of biology which is part of the Chinese Academy of Sciences”.

Further investigations show that Shi Zhengli has been a figure of controversy since the Wuhan virus outbreak. This is due to a paper she published in 2015 discussing her own research into synthetic viruses.

Caixin, a media company with ties to the Chinese Communist Party, interviewed Shi Zhengli in an attempt to refute these rumours.

Dr Sean Lin

“Doctor Shi Zhengli is one of the top experts in China studying Corona Virus in the Wuhan Institute of Virology. She has many publications from her work collecting and identifying bat Corona Viruses from bat caves. Her lab had these capacities and also a very sophisticated capacity to generate mutations to make it best fit in human expression as well.”

Delving further into related information I discovered that Shi Zhengli published not one but four papers in total, each of which contains important information.

Since the SARS outbreak in 2003 Shi Zhengli has been conducting research on coronaviruses. From 2010 onward the focus of Shi and her team was redirected to identifying the capacity for corona virus transmission across species, specifically putting the spotlight on the S protein of the corona viruses.

In other words her team's research in the Wuhan lab has been looking into the part that can make corona viruses transmittable to humans.

In June 2010 a team including Shi Zhengli published a paper. It describes research to understand the susceptibility of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2 (ACE2) proteins of different bat species to the S protein of the SARS virus.

In the experiments they also modified key amino acids to mutate the bats` ACE 2 so that they could examine compatibility with the SARS S protein. This paper demonstrated their awareness of the special relationship between the S protein and the ACE 2 receptor.

It also signified that Shi Zhengli had unearthed the passageway for corona viruses into human bodies.

In October 2013 she and her team published a paper in the authoritative science journal *Nature*. They claimed a breakthrough in corona virus research. What was their breakthrough? They successfully isolated three viruses from bats, one of which had an S protein that integrated with human ACE 2 receptors. This effectively demonstrated the direct infection of SARS like viruses to humans without the need of an intermediary host.

Then in November 2015 she and her team at the Wuhan lab once again published a paper, this time in the British journal *Nature Medicine*. They discussed the creation of a synthetic virus, a self-replicating chimeric virus. This virus had the SARS virus as the framework with the key S protein replaced by the one they had found in a bat coronavirus she mentioned in her 2013 paper. This new virus demonstrated a powerful ability for cross-species infection, the mice infected with the synthetic virus revealed severe lung damage with no cure.

This showed that Shi Zhengli's successful splicing of the SARS virus was a key to opening the door to cross-species transmission.

What is startling is that these successful experiments on mice were only the tip of the iceberg. they planned to further experiment on primates. Although she generally did not indicate any conclusion from this research, her move to research on primates suggests this was to more closely simulate the infection of humans with this new synthetic virus.

This wasn't done without controversy however. Shi Zhengli experiments quickly triggered widespread debates in the academic community.

Simon Wain-Hobson of the Pasteur Institute in France expressed deep concerns. He told nature if the new virus escaped nobody could predict the trajectory. Propagation could happen anywhere.

Dr Judy Mikovits

"His statement is exactly what's happening. The virus is everywhere. Additional studies very strongly support the idea that this new corona virus came from a recombination event."

However this did not terminate Shi Zhengli`s research.

On November 14 2018 Shi Zhengli spoke at the School of Life Sciences and Biotechnology at Shanghai Jiaotong University. The topic was Bat coronavirus and it's cross-species infection. Reports of this event have since been deleted from the University website.

I discovered two more significant pieces of information regarding the dangers of the research conducted by Shi Zhengli`s team.

First on October 16 2014 the Obama administration, wary of the potential threats to public health from the gain-of-function GOF research into SARS, MERS or Influenza, announced through the National Institute of Health that it was suspending funding into similar research. The funding pause included Shi Zhengli`s research project into the genetic engineering of SARS-like corona virus in bats, a collaborative effort with Dr. Ralph Baric, a virologist at the University of North Carolina.

Second after the Wuhan outbreak Indian researchers compared the S protein sequence between 2019 and CoV and SARS. They discovered that 2019 new CoV had four new sequences inserted, all of which can be found in HIV sequences through a search on GenBank.

Shi Zhengli discredited those observations, although she never denied the existence of the four inserted sequences.

However scientists probing GenBank found that there were only three viruses containing all sequences. The first is the HIV virus itself. the second is a bat coronavirus discovered by Shi Zhengli and the third is this new Wuhan coronavirus.

Dr. Judy Mitovski

“We’ve done this kind of work for 40 years now. For me (as a result of) the sequence analysis and comparison of the virus of the SARS to Covid 19, it apparently has genes that come from other species including some envelope, the GP 41 from HIV.”

What is the HIV’s GP41?

The answer I found online describes GP41 as a protein of HIV that acts as the key to infecting human bodies, resulting in the functional failure of the immune system.

If the discovery by Judy and her colleagues is established, it would mean that the infectious part of the Wuhan virus, the S protein, incorporated the sequence of the HIV key protein. This made me think of the immune-deficiency symptoms in people infected.

General Robert Spalding

“They were doing research on a human transmittable corona virus that was actually published in a paper. So this is research that they actually published. They were working on developing a corona virus for the human host, which you know leads to the question, why would you be creating a corona virus that can infect humans? What will be the purpose of that research?”

Is it for a weapon, is it so that you can then create a vaccine that you are the sole recipient of the profits from?

The Chinese have full access to our databases, they have full access to all the research that comes out, they have full access to all our universities to train their scientists and they have full access to our scientists, like with the recent indictment of the Head of the Chemistry Department at Harvard. ([Prof. Charles Leiber](#)).

I mean this is a Thousand Talents program. Tens of thousands of the world's most brightest people in all of these different areas are going to China to help them with their programs and all of these programs, as you know, have a dual use capability.”

Gordon Chang.

“Beijing's attacks on the United States which have occurred for weeks and weeks really worry me. What it shows is that China is desperate and the United States needs to defend itself because China is propagating this narrative that we spread the corona virus to China. So the United States needs to just come out with the facts, about how China took coronavirus samples from Canada and the United States. They sent them to Wuhan, we don't know exactly

what went on in that lab there at the Wuhan Institute of virology, but it's time for the United States to defend itself .”

Part 4 The secret of the Wuhan P4 Laboratory

January 23rd 2020. The Wuhan virus exploded. While Wuhan announced the lockdown to the city, Shi Zhengli and her team released a paper stating the Wuhan corona virus was of probable bat Origin. This was published in *Nature* on February 3rd. The paper indicated that the Wuhan virus utilized the same key as SARS to gain entry into the human body.

Shi also announced the 2019 n CoV genome sequence was 96.2% consistent with a bat coronavirus originating in Yunnan, China called BatCoV RaTG13 signalling a natural source for the Wuhan virus.

However Shi Zhengli`s assertion of natural origin is doubtful. The outbreak occurred in Wuhan, the same location as the P4 laboratory at which she was based and which housed highly similar viruses.

Common sense should have lead the government to first inspect the P4 laboratory for any leakage incident and potential safety concerns.

Instead they shifted public attention from the P4 laboratory to the South China seafood market that sold no bats. They designated it as the origin of the disease.

At the same time authorities sealed off all virus samples, prevented international experts from joining the investigation and used national television to slander doctors such as Li Ren Liang who disclosed the outbreak for spreading rumours.

If the Wuhan virus indeed emerged naturally, why would the CCP need to censor relevant news or block investigations?

Could the Wuhan P4 laboratory have its secrets? Virus samples and genome sequences may be the exact ingredients we'll need to find our answers.

Gordon Chang

“When I first heard of the corona virus I was deeply concerned. Almost every disease that starts in China begins in Guangdong province, the province that surrounds Hong Kong in the South, but Wuhan is in the central portion of the country and so this was extremely unusual.

It's not a conspiracy theory to think that the corona virus came from the Wuhan lab. We don't know at this point and until we know, the theory about the lab origin is certainly something that we should consider.”

I noticed that after the outbreak the Wuhan Institute of Virology kept strangely quiet. Under normal circumstances, as the nation's highest level of Virology Research, the Institute should be the first one to actively respond when the entire country is working around the clock attempting to control a disease like this, one that so closely fits the type of viruses its scientists were researching.

In contrast the Institute had a highly visible demonstration on CCTV of their efforts to detect and prevent the outbreak of a virus in pigs of Guangdong in 2018.

It should be noted that the Wuhan Institute displayed a series of abnormal events since the start of 2020.

January 2nd: an email from the director-general of the Institute to all internal staff was circulated. The subject was a notice regarding the strict prohibition of disclosure of any information related to the Wuhan unknown pneumonia.

*“The National Health Commission clearly mandates that all detection, empirical data, results and conclusions related to this outbreak cannot be published on self-media or social media, nor disclosed to any media including state media **or collaborative organizations** including any technical services companies”.*

January 21st: a new drug Remdesivir, provided for free by the United States to China for Wuhan coronavirus treatment, was pre-emptively patented by the Institute.

February 3rd: Using his real name Dr. Wu Xiaohua blew the whistle stating that Shi Zhengli’s haphazard laboratory management may have led the Wuhan virus to leak from the lab.

February 4th: Using his real name, the chairman of Duoyi, Xu Bo, blew the whistle stating that the Wuhan Institute of Virology was suspected of manufacturing and leaking the Wuhan virus.

February 7th: A top biochemical weapon expert of the People's Liberation Army, Chen Wei, officially assumed control over Wuhan Institute of Virology’s P4 Laboratory.

February 14th: Chinese leader Xi Jinping called for the inclusion of biosecurity into China's national security framework and the accelerated introduction of a biosecurity law.

February 15th: The Institute refuted widely spread rumors on Chinese social media that a female graduate Huang Yanling was patient zero and had perished. However Huang's photo, CV and thesis were all removed from the Institute's official website, leaving only her name.

February 17th: Using her real name, Institute researcher Chen Quanjiao blew the whistle stating that the director-general of the Institute, Wang Yanyi, was suspected of leaking the virus.

Dr Judy Mikovits

“I graduated from the University of Virginia in 1980. My first job was to go to the National Cancer Institute which was housed at Fort Detrick, Maryland, the same place that houses the US Army Research Institute on Infectious Disease, the biosafety level 4 facility equivalent of the Wuhan facility.

It’s at these facilities where investigators, I went in there myself, can see the breakdowns in safety and it's everywhere. That's why I have no doubt the Chinese biosafety level 4 it was just as lacking in safety, in rigour. You can argue that they don't care.”

([Fort Detrick was closed down by the US government](#) in July 2019 because of safety concerns)

Suspicion of leaking the virus, a military biochemical weapons expert assumes control, a new biosecurity law.

Now if these sensitive keywords are put together, it tells me that the P4 laboratory is no ordinary academic research institute. I decided to go back to the beginning of the Wuhan P4 lab.

On January 23rd, the day Wuhan was locked down, French website challenges.fr published an article that revealed many details of the collaboration between France and China to establish the P4 laboratory in Wuhan.

In 2003 after the SARS outbreak in China, the Chinese Academy of Sciences requested assistance from the French government to build a Virology Research Centre of the highest level.

With the support of then French Prime Minister Raffarin, the two countries signed a contract to jointly construct the P4 laboratory, causing a wave of contention.

According to the contract French architect RTV in Lyon was to be responsible for the engineering of the laboratory. However Chinese authorities switched the work to a local Wuhan architect, IPPR.

IPPR had close ties to subsidies of the Chinese military, some of which were already targets of concern by the American CIA. Within the 12 subsidiary departments there was even a specific military management office.

The French delayed repeatedly, given security concerns.

The Wuhan P4 laboratory wasn't operational until 2017 and French security continued to suspect that the Chinese regime was conducting biochemical weapons experiments.

Who is the real boss of the P4 laboratory?

The Wuhan P4 laboratory was a subsidiary of the Wuhan Institute of Virology which is managed by the China Academy of Sciences.

The director of the laboratory was Yuan Zhiming, who was also the head of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan chapter.

Design and funding for construction were the responsibilities of the ex vice-presidents of the Academy:

Jiang Mianheng from 1999 to 2011 and

Chen Zhu from 2000 to 2007.

Jiang Mianheng was the eldest son of ex-CCP leader Jiang Zemin.

After Jiang Zemin ascended to power after the Tiananmen Square massacre, his son entered the Academy and led the Institute of High Technologies Research and Development.

Jiang Mianheng created the Shanghai Institute of Life Sciences and together with the China Academy of Sciences, Shanghai colleges and universities, Shanghai hospitals, military hospitals and research institutions formed a profit group of Life Sciences organizations.

They controlled China's major Life Sciences research projects and allocation of massive funding.

Jiang Zhicheng, grandson of Jiang Zemin, is the controlling shareholder of WuXi AppTec, which in turn controls Fosun Pharmaceutical, China's agent for Remdisivir. Effectively Jiang Zhicheng is the kingpin behind the most prominent medicine used against the Covid 19 virus.

Meanwhile Chen Zhu is the current president of the Red Cross Society of China which had faced numerous scandals since the outbreak.

In 1999 while Jiang Zemin was in power the People's Liberation Army published a book "Unrestricted Warfare" in which, in the context of modern warfare, strategies for a weaker nation to combat a stronger nation are discussed

One of the authors, Xiao Liang, wrote

"after the first Taiwan Strait crisis we realized that if the Chinese and American military fought head-on, we are at a disadvantage. Therefore we need a new strategy to help our military tilt the balance of power. This new strategy is called unrestricted warfare. It includes variety of means beyond all limits, without any restrictions. It could be military related, including guerrilla warfare, terrorism, biochemical warfare or it could be non-military related such as drug trafficking, poisoning, environmental destruction and computer virus dissemination."

Israeli expert in biological warfare and former intelligence officer Danny Shoham published a paper in 2015 in India's *Journal of Defence Studies*.

He pointed out that China will not miss skip or abandon any highly advanced technology, especially military related technology.

The article noted that China had 12 facilities related to the Ministry of National Defence and 30 subsidiary facilities of the PLA participating in the Research, Development, Manufacturing. Testing and Storage of biological weapons.

The *Federation of American Scientists* indicated similar concerns in an evaluation report. The organization believed that the CCP possessed advanced chemical warfare projects including research, development, manufacturing and weaponizing capabilities.

The CCP is usually known to have a proactive biological warfare portfolio, including state funded and sponsored research activities.

General Robert Spalding

"I believe they have them. I believe they're developing them and I think they want to be the most advanced nation on earth when it comes to biological weapons. The same as I believe that they do forced organ harvesting, the way I say the same as I believe that they have concentration camps for Uigurs, the way I believe that they have systematically killed millions of Falun Gong. it is the same thing, this regime. You can count on it."

Anthony Shaffer

“So Wuhan as an area I think is critical. There's a lot of concern about what China's ambitions are regarding long term global domination.

Their military doctrine has indicated that they intend to be the dominant political and military force on the Pacific Rim.

I've talked to senior members of the Trump administration who've had conversations with the senior Chinese generals and that's what they basically say. It's in their doctrine too.

So we have to examine this new clue. This is one piece, Wuhan biological warfare. Does this mean something to these other things we are already seeing. The Chinese have already kind of stated that this is their objective, so I think we have to analyse it through that lens.

What does this mean in the larger political narrative of what China wants to do in order to dominate the region and potentially the world?”

Gordon Chang

“We don't have to speculate about being at war. Last May the Communist Party through People's Daily carried a piece which said that there was a quote-unquote “people's war” against the United States. They've declared war on us, we have to respond. There is a war. China's told us there's one.”

Part 5 Facing the Pandemic

At present the Wuhan coronavirus pandemic has spread to about 190 countries with no signs of slowing down. The United States announced a state of national emergency. Europe became the new centre of the outbreak.

Tragic scenes previously only found in Hollywood films are now playing out live on the world stage. Given how closely it seemed to align with the Chinese regime`s narratives, I can't help but question the role the WHO had in this catastrophic pandemic.

Gen. Robert Spalding.

“Well I think all you have to do is look at the photo of (WHO General Secretary) Tedros and (Chairman) Xi shaking hands. Xi standing there, Tedros going up to there and it really is indicative of how China controls many of these international institutions.

You can see that the WHO is essentially following Chinese Communist Party's guidelines. You can see that the UN Human Rights Council is similarly following what China does.”

Gordon Chang

“From the very beginning of the corona virus outbreak the Communist Party has done its best to prevent the CDC (US Health Authority) and others from studying the origin of this disease. We don't know what's there but the fact that the Communist Party is covering this up should trouble us deeply.”

James Grundvig, Investigative Reporter

“Then you see the World Health Organization take over YouTube and what's amazing is now at the bottom of every YouTube video the World Health Organization is a forced link to go to the site. So for any person who goes there, they get the talking points from the World Health Organization.

It is also a shame that American corporations especially social media like Google, like Facebook and Twitter, they're trying to censor or ban or shadow ban or manipulate algorithms and so forth to prevent an actual honest conversation.”

Dr Judy Mikovits

“The biggest issue I've learned over my 40-year career, it's not really fighting the viruses and learning how to treat the viruses, it's fighting a system that is determined to cover up and persecute anyone who reveals the truth behind.”

General Robert Spalding

“Today the World Bank and many of the international institutions have essentially adopted a posture whereby if the Chinese Communist Party tells them to do what they're going to do it. I'm not surprised at all by what the WHO says. This is why the national security strategy that came out in December 2017. says what it says.

Which is, we need to protect our societies, not just the United States, but all democracies. We need to rebuild them, not invest in the Chinese Communist Party regime and the Belt and Road Initiative and Made in China 2025. And we need to inspire people again to believe in democracy.”

Gordon Chang

“Every country has diseases but in China they become national emergencies and global emergencies because the real disease here is communism.”

A sprawling and aggressive disinformation campaign unleashed globally by the Chinese Communist Party. The propaganda push which has escalated in recent weeks aims primarily to deflect the blame over the Chinese regime's botched handling of the Wuhan coronavirus, to sow discord internationally and to portray the image that the regime has contained the outbreak.

During the investigative interview process well-known scientists, who once suggested a laboratory origin of the virus, declined to be interviewed one after another.

Some avoided the topic of virus origin completely. some had already composed scientific arguments but abruptly retracted the papers.

I felt as if a giant net of censorship was cast by the CCP over virologists around the world. Silence at this time could have consequences for the health and lives of billions of people.

General Robert Spalding

“Bottom line: they want to make money from China they fear the Chinese repercussions if they air this stuff. It just gives you an indication of how powerful the Chinese Communist Party is. The Chinese Communist Party is suppressing speech in the West because these companies make money from China and they're afraid the Chinese Communist Party is going to punish them if they publish this stuff or allow it to be aired. There is no other reason.”

Anthony Schaffer

“The same basic governance model that screwed up Chernobyl I would argue is now in charge of the response of the coronavirus, so whatever you saw go wrong n Chernobyl you could still see that potential here. I'm not saying it's going to be that bad, I'm just saying that

the party, the central party is the thing which they want to protect. People and nation issues are secondary to the continuation of power.”

Gordon Chang

“The Communist Party is malign and it is grossly irresponsible. It has pressured governments to keep their borders open and it had to know that that would result in the fast spread of coronavirus to other countries.

This is the worst sort of behaviour and the world's got to understand that there will not be peace, there will not be good order and stability in the world as long as the communist party rules China.

The communist party has policies that people abhor and it's not just its reaction to the coronavirus. We see this the suppression of rights, also with holding perhaps a million people, maybe even three million people, in concentration camps. This is just the essential nature of Chinese communism. Chinese communism is Evil.”

While China pretends to be a responsible member of the international community, in reality they are doing much to undermine the rule of law and human rights.

We at the epoch times refer to the Wuhan coronavirus or Covid 19 as a CCP virus because the Chinese Communist Party's cover-up and mishandling allowed the virus to spread throughout China and create a global pandemic.

On March 24th Texas lawyer Larry claimed and filed a complaint in Texas federal court seeking at least 20 trillion dollars from the Chinese government. Clayman said in a statement quote “the Chinese people are a good people, but their government is not and it must be made to pay dearly”.

On April 4th the British think-tank, the Henry Jackson Society advocated for compensation of 351 billion pounds from the CCP to the UK and that the government should pursue it through international courts.

The same report called for a total compensation of 3.2 trillion pounds to the G7 for the damages caused by the cover-up of the outbreak.

On the same day the All India Bar Association filed a complaint to the United Nations Human Rights Council, seeking an unspecified amount as reparations from China over the global spread of the coronavirus.

Evidently the CCP violated international health regulations.

The United States and the international community must regain their senses and take action, reveal the truth through investigations, initiate sanctions against the CCP and seek massive restitution for the worldwide public health emergency resulting in tremendous health and economic loss.

Gen Robert Spalding

“Let's start protecting ourselves let's start rebuilding our country, let's start investing in our people, let's start inspiring people to love democracy and transparency and open markets, not what the Chinese have which is essentially, if you read document number nine, counter to every single one of those things.

It gives us a real opportunity to re-evaluate the kind of world we want to live in and to fight for the right side of that world.

I want them to see the true nature of the Chinese Communist Party because when they do they're gonna wake up and when that happens, democracies will begin to flourish again and when that happens perhaps, just perhaps, the people of China will have a chance.”

This virus must have a message for all of us as people of this earth. We need to take a break from our busy schedules and seriously consider the repercussions of our ever expanding scientific research and development.

If we go too far, do our desires go against the laws of nature and pull us further from the direction given by heaven?

Maybe when this virus lifts we can again find our traditional values, rediscover kindness and virtue.